ESSENTIAL REFERENCE PAPER B

Environment Scrutiny Committee work programme 2010/11

meeting		topic	Contact officer/lead	Next Exec
NEXT	CIVIC YEAR			
Invited to JOINT SCRUTINY in CBS slot	1 June 2010	 2009/10 out-turns Service Plans Sept 09 – March 2010 monitoring report 	Ceri PettitCeri Pettit/Dave Cooper	
2010/11	8 June 2010	Performance Reporting – Contract Performance 2009/10	Cliff Cardoza	13 July 2010
Meeting 1/4	Report deadline 26 May	 ARC progress report Environmental Quality – report on progress against action plan 	Cliff CardozaCliff Cardoza	
		Healthcheck through to April 2010Work Programme	Lorna Georgiou/KarlMarian Langley	
2010/11	14 Sept 2010	Transport and Parking Strategy – update and consultation phase	Andrew Pulham	12 October 2010
Meeting 2/4	Report deadline 1 Sept	 ? Healthcheck through to July 2010 Work Programme 	Lorna Georgiou/KarlMarian Langley	
2010/11 Meeting 3/4	30 Nov 2010 Report deadline 17 Nov	 Service Plans Apr 2010 – Sept 2010 monitoring (Environment only) ? ? Healthcheck through to Sept 2010 Work Programme 	Ceri Pettit/Dave CooperLorna Georgiou/KarlMarian Langley	11 January 2011
2010/11 Meeting 4/4	15 Mar 2011 Report deadline 3 March	 Climate Change – report on progress against action plan (1 year on) East Herts new Transport and Parking Strategy Healthcheck through to Jan 2011 	 Cliff Cardoza and David Thorogood Andrew Pulham Lorna Georgiou/Karl 	5 April 2011
		Work Programme	Marian Langley	

The four principles of good public scrutiny:

- provides 'critical friend' challenge to executive policy-makers and decision-makers
- enables the voice and concerns of the public and its communities
- is carried out by 'independent-minded governors' who lead and own the scrutiny role
- drives improvement in public services

Currently within East Herts Council, the criteria for selecting issues:

For the Scrutiny Committee to select an issue to review, it must meet all of the following criteria:

- Of local, and preferably current, concern
- Linked to the council's corporate objectives
- Capable of being influenced by this committee
- Of manageable scope focused rather than too wide ranging
- Of sufficient scope to warrant a scrutiny review not something that can be easily fixed by meeting with the service provider
- Not being scrutinised elsewhere (eg another Scrutiny Committee)